	Case 3:07-cv-03956-CRB	Document 4	Filed 09/19/2007	Page 1 of 48
22 Sartery Street, Suite 2000  11  22 Bartery Street, Suite 5000  11  12  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28	AMY W. SCHULMAN DLA PIPER LLP 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020 Telephone: (212) 335-4500 Facsimile: (212) 335-4501 amy.schulman@dlapiper.com  STUART M. GORDON (SBN GORDON & REES LLP Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (415) 986-5900 Facsimile: (415) 986-8054 sgordon@gordonrees.com  MICHAEL C. ZELLERS (SB TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LI 515 South Flower Street, Suite Los Angeles, CA 90071-2223 Telephone: (213) 430-3400 Facsimile: (213) 430-3409 michael.zellers@tuckerellis.co  Attorneys for Defendants PFIZER INC., PHARMACIA AND G.D. SEARLE LLC	N: 037477)  SN: 146904) LP e 4200  MITED STATES RTHERN DISTR SAN FRANCI SEREX CTICES AND TIGATION  A CORPORATIO  A	N,  DISTRICT COUR ICT OF CALIFOR SCO DIVISION  MDL Do CASE NO PFIZER CORPO SEARLE COMPL JURY DO HEREIN	Cket No. 1699  D. 3:07-cv-3956-CRB  INC., PHARMACIA RATION, AND G.D. CLLC'S ANSWER TO AINT  EMAND ENDORSED
			-1-	

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT – 3:07-cv-3956-CRB

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NOW COME Defendants Pfizer Inc. (improperly captioned in Plaintiffs' Complaint as "Pfizer, Inc.") ("Pfizer"), Pharmacia Corporation (formerly known as "Monsanto Company") ("Pharmacia"), and G.D. Searle LLC ("Searle"), (collectively "Defendants") and file their Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint ("Complaint"), and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

I.

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The Complaint does not state in sufficient detail when Plaintiffs were prescribed or used Celebrex® (celecoxib) ("Celebrex®"). Accordingly, this Answer can only be drafted generally. Defendants may seek leave to amend this Answer when discovery reveals the specific time periods in which Plaintiffs were prescribed and used Celebrex®.

Π.

#### **ANSWER**

## Response to Allegations Regarding Parties

Defendants admit that Plaintiffs brought this civil action seeking monetary damages, but deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief or damages. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Pfizer and Pharmacia marketed and co-promoted Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Celebrex® were manufactured and packaged for Searle, which developed, tested, marketed, co-promoted, and distributed Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used

a result, Pharmacia will respond to the allegations directed at Monsanto Company.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs' Complaint names "Monsanto Company" as a Defendant. Defendants state that in 1933, an entity known as Monsanto Company ("1933 Monsanto") was incorporated under the laws of Delaware. On March 31, 2000, 1933 Monsanto changed its name to Pharmacia Corporation. On February 9, 2000, a separate company, Monsanto Ag Company, was incorporated under the laws of Delaware. On March 31, 2000, Monsanto Ag Company changed its name to Monsanto Company ("2000 Monsanto"). The 2000 Monsanto is engaged in the agricultural business and does not and has not ever designed, produced, manufactured, sold, resold, or distributed Celebrex®. Given that Plaintiffs allege in their Complaint that Monsanto Company was involved in distributing Celebrex®, see PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT at ¶ 8, Defendants assume Plaintiffs mean to refer to 1933 Monsanto. As

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- 2. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding Plaintiff's age, citizenship, medical condition, and whether Plaintiff used Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 3. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding Plaintiff's age, citizenship, medical condition, and whether Plaintiff used Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 4. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding Plaintiff's age, citizenship, medical condition, and whether Plaintiff used Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 5. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding Plaintiff's age, citizenship, medical condition, and whether Plaintiff used Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiff injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 6. Defendants admit that Pfizer is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in New York. Defendants admit that, as the result of a merger in April 2003,

9

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of the Complaint regarding "predecessors in interest" are vague and ambiguous. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Pfizer marketed and co-promoted Celebrex® in the United States, including California, to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in

Pharmacia became a subsidiary of Pfizer. Defendants state that the allegations in this paragraph

accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

Defendants admit that Searle is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in Illinois. Defendants admit that Pharmacia acquired Searle in

2000 and that, as the result of a merger in April 2003, Searle and Pharmacia became

subsidiaries of Pfizer. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Celebrex® was manufactured and packaged for Searle, which developed, tested, marketed, co-promoted and

distributed Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by

law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants

deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

8. Defendants admit that in 1933 an entity known as Monsanto Company ("1933 Monsanto") was incorporated under the laws of Delaware. On March 31, 2000, a subsidiary of 1933 Monsanto merged with Pharmacia & Upjohn, Inc, and 1933 Monsanto changed its name to Pharmacia Corporation. On February 9, 2000, a separate company, Monsanto Ag Company, was incorporated under the laws of Delaware. On March 31, 2000, Monsanto Ag Company changed its name to Monsanto Company ("2000 Monsanto"). The 2000 Monsanto is engaged in the agricultural business and does not and has not ever manufactured, marketed, sold, or distributed Celebrex®. The 2000 Monsanto is not and has never been the parent of either Searle or Pharmacia. As the 2000 Monsanto does not and has not ever manufactured, marketed, sold, or distributed Celebrex®, Defendants therefore state that the 2000 Monsanto is not a proper party in this matter. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint. Defendants state that the response to this paragraph of the Complaint regarding

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Monsanto is incorporated by reference into Defendants' responses to each and every paragraph of the Complaint referring to Monsanto and/or Defendants.

Defendants admit that Pharmacia is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in New Jersey. Defendants admit that Pharmacia acquired Searle in 2000 and that, as the result of a merger in April 2003, Searle and Pharmacia became subsidiaries of Pfizer. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Pharmacia marketed and co-promoted Celebrex® in the United States, including California, to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

## Response to Allegations Regarding Jurisdiction and Venue

- 10. Defendants are without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding the amount in controversy, and, therefore, deny that the same. However, Defendants admit that Plaintiffs claim that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interests and costs.
- Defendants are without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of 11. the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding Plaintiffs' citizenship and the amount in controversy, and, therefore, deny the same. However, Defendants admit that Plaintiffs claim that the parties are diverse and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interests and costs.
- 12. Defendants are without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding the judicial district in which the asserted claims allegedly arose and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants deny committing a tort in the States of California, Arizona, and Florida, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 13. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Pfizer and Pharmacia marketed and co-promoted Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the

9

10

11 12

San Francisco, CA 94111 13

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FDA. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Celebrex® was manufactured and packaged for Searle, which developed, tested, marketed, co-promoted and distributed Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants admit that Pfizer, Pharmacia, and Searle are registered to and do business in the State of and California. Defendants state that the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding "predecessors in interest" are vague and ambiguous. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants deny committing a tort in the States of California, Arizona, and Florida, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

#### Response to Allegations Regarding Interdistrict Assignment

14. Defendants state that this paragraph of the Complaint contains legal contentions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Defendants admit that this case should be transferred to In re: Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Prac. and Prods. Liab. Litig., MDL-1699, assigned to the Honorable Charles R. Breyer by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on September 6, 2005.

## **Response to Factual Allegations**

- 15. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 16. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that, in the ordinary case, Celebrex® was expected to reach users and consumers without substantial change from the

time of sale. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- 17. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 18. Defendants state that the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding aspirin, naproxen, and ibuprofen are not directed toward Defendants, and, therefore, no response is required. Defendants admit that Celebrex® is in a class of drugs that are, at times, referred to as being non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs ("NSAIDs"). Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 19. Defendants state that the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint are not directed towards Defendants and, therefore, no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Defendants state that Plaintiffs fail to provide the proper context for the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint. Defendants therefore lack sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations and, therefore, deny the same.
- 20. Defendants state that the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint are not directed towards Defendants and, therefore, no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Defendants state that Plaintiffs fail to provide the proper context for the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint. Defendants therefore lack sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations and, therefore, deny the same.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 21. Defendants state that the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint are not directed towards Defendants and, therefore, no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Defendants state that Plaintiffs fail to provide the proper context for the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint. Defendants therefore lack sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations and, therefore, deny the same.
- 22. Defendants state that the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint are not directed towards Defendants and, therefore, no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required. Defendants state that Plaintiffs fail to provide the proper context for the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint. Defendants therefore lack sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations and, therefore, deny the same.
- 23. Defendants state that the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding "other pharmaceutical companies" are not directed towards Defendants and, therefore, no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, Defendants state that, as stated in the FDA-approved labeling for Celebrex®, "[t]he mechanism of action of Celebrex is believed to be due to inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis, primarily via inhibition of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), and at therapeutic concentrations in humans, Celebrex does not inhibit the cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) isoenzyme." Plaintiffs fail to provide the proper context for the remaining allegations in this paragraph and Defendants therefore lack sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 24. Defendants state that the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding "predecessors in interest" are vague and ambiguous. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that, as stated in the FDA-approved labeling for Celebrex®, "[t]he mechanism of action of Celebrex is believed to be due to inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis, primarily via inhibition of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), and at therapeutic concentrations in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

humans, Celebrex does not inhibit the cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) isoenzyme." Defendant
state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA
approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex®
were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was a
all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny
any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

25. Defendants admit that Searle submitted a New Drug Application ("NDA") for Celebrex® on June 29, 1998. Defendants admit that, on December 31, 1998, the FDA granted approval of Celebrex® for the following indications: (1) for relief of the signs and symptoms of osteoarthritis; and (2) for relief of the signs and symptoms of rheumatoid arthritis in adults. Defendants admit that, on December 23, 1999, the FDA granted approval of Celebrex® to reduce the number of adenomatous colorectal polyps in familial adenomatous polyposis ("FAP") as an adjunct to usual care (e.g. endoscopic surveillance surgery). Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

Defendants admit that Celebrex® was launched in February 1999. Defendants 26. admit that, during certain periods of time, Pfizer and Pharmacia marketed and co-promoted Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Celebrex® was manufactured and packaged for Searle, which developed, tested, marketed, co-promoted and distributed Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 27. Defendants state that the referenced article speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the article for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the article is denied. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 28. Defendants state that the referenced article speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the article for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the article is denied. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 29. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 30. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 31. Defendants admit that a supplemental NDA for Celebrex® was submitted to the FDA on June 12, 2000. Defendants assert that the submission speaks for itself and any attempt to characterize it is denied. Defendants admit that a Medical Officer Review dated September 20, 2000, was completed by the FDA. Defendants state that the referenced study speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the study for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the study is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 32. Defendants state that the referenced Medical Officer Review speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the Medical Officer Review for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the Medical Officer Review is denied. Defendants state that the referenced Alert for Healthcare Professionals speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the Alert for Healthcare Professionals for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the Alert for Healthcare Professionals is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 33. Defendants state that the referenced study speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the study for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the study is denied. Defendants state that the referenced article speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the article for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the article is denied. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 34. Defendants state that the referenced Medical Officer Review speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the Medical Officer Review for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the Medical Officer Review is denied. Defendants state that the referenced article speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the article for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the article is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 35. Defendants state that the referenced article speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the article for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the article is denied. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 36. Defendants state that the referenced articles speak for themselves and respectfully refer the Court to the articles for their actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the articles is denied. Defendants state that the referenced study speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the study for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the study is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

22

23

24 25

26

27

- 37. Defendants state that the referenced Medical Officer Review speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the Medical Officer Review for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the Medical Officer Review is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- Plaintiffs fail to provide the proper context for the allegations concerning "Public 38. Citizen" in this paragraph of the Complaint. Defendants therefore lack sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 39. Defendants state that the referenced study speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the study for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the study is denied. Plaintiffs fail to provide the proper context for the allegations concerning "Public Citizen" in this paragraph of the Complaint. Defendants therefore lack sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 40. Defendants admit that there was a clinical trial called APC. Defendants state that the referenced article speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the article for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the article is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- Defendants state that the referenced Alert for Healthcare Professionals speaks for 41. itself and respectfully refer the Court to the Alert for Healthcare Professionals for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the Alert for Healthcare Professionals is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 42. Defendants state that the referenced Medical Officer Review speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the Medical Officer Review for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the Medical Officer Review is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 43. Defendants admit that there was a clinical trial called PreSAP. Plaintiffs fail to provide the proper context for the allegations concerning "other Celebrex trials" contained in

10 11

12

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 13 14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

this paragraph of the Complaint. Defendants therefore lack sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations and, therefore, deny the same. As for the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding the PreSAP study, Defendants state that the referenced study speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the study for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the study is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- 44. Defendants state that the referenced article speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the article for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the article is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 45. Plaintiffs fail to provide the proper context for the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding Merck and Vioxx® in this paragraph of the Complaint. Defendants therefore lack sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that the referenced studies speak for themselves and respectfully refer the Court to the studies for their actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the studies is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 46. Defendants state that the referenced Medical Officer Review speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the Medical Officer Review for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the Medical Officer Review is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 47. Defendants state that allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding Vioxx® in this paragraph of the Complaint are not directed toward Defendants, and therefore no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Plaintiffs fail to provide the proper context for the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding Vioxx® in this paragraph of the Complaint. Defendants therefore lack sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that the referenced study speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 15

17

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the study for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the study is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- 48. Defendants state that allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding Merck and Vioxx® in this paragraph of the Complaint are not directed toward Defendants, and therefore no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Plaintiffs fail to provide the proper context for the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding Merck and Vioxx® in this paragraph of the Complaint. Defendants therefore lack sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that the referenced study speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the study for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the study is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 49. Defendants state that allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding Merck and Vioxx® in this paragraph of the Complaint are not directed toward Defendants, and therefore no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Plaintiffs fail to provide the proper context for the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding Merck and Vioxx® in this paragraph of the Complaint. Defendants therefore lack sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that the referenced study speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the study for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the study is denied. Defendants state that the referenced article speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the article for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the article is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 50. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants deny the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

8

9 10

11

12

San Francisco, CA 94111 13 14

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

- 51. Defendants state that the referenced article speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the article for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the article is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 52. Defendants state that allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint are not directed toward Defendants, and therefore no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Defendants state that the referenced article speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the article for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the article is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 53. Defendants deny the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 54. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® is defective, and deny the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 55. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 56. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 57. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 58. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and

4 5

6

7

59.

9

10

11

12

13 14

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000

16 17

15

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® is unreasonably dangerous, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

Defendants admit that the FDA Division of Drug Marketing, Advertising, and

Communications ("DDMAC") sent a letter to Pfizer dated January 10, 2005. Defendants state that the referenced letter speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the letter for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the letter is denied. Defendants admit that the DDMAC sent a letter to Searle dated October 6, 1999. Defendants state that the referenced letter speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the letter for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the letter is denied. Defendants state that the transcripts of the FDA Arthritis Drugs Advisory Committee hearings speak for themselves and respectfully refer the Court to the transcripts for their actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the transcripts is denied. Defendants state that the referenced study speaks for itself and respectfully refer the Court to the article for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the article is denied. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

60. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Pfizer and Pharmacia marketed and co-promoted Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Celebrex® was manufactured and packaged for Searle, which developed, tested, marketed, co-promoted and distributed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- 61. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Pfizer and Pharmacia marketed and co-promoted Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Celebrex® was manufactured and packaged for Searle, which developed, tested, marketed, co-promoted and distributed Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants state that Celebrex® is a prescription medication which is approved by the FDA for the following indications: (1) for relief of the signs and symptoms of osteoarthritis; (2) for relief of the signs and symptoms of rheumatoid arthritis in adults; (3) for the management of acute pain in adults; (4) for the treatment of primary dysmenorrhea; (5) to reduce the number of adenomatous colorectal polyps in familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) as an adjunct to usual care (e.g., endoscopic surveillance surgery); (6) for relief of signs and symptoms of ankylosing spondylitis; and (7) for relief of the signs and symptoms of juvenile rheumatoid arthritis in patients two years of age and older. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 62. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which at all times was adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants state that Plaintiffs' allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

regarding "predecessors in interest" are vague and ambiguous. Defendants are without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® is defective, and

deny the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in 63. accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Pfizer and Pharmacia marketed and co-promoted Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Celebrex® was manufactured and packaged for Searle, which developed, tested, marketed, co-promoted and distributed Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

64. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which at all times was adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Pfizer and Pharmacia marketed and co-promoted Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Celebrex® was manufactured and packaged for Searle, which developed, tested, marketed, co-promoted and distributed Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

65. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in				
accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential				
effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing				
information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care				
and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this				
paragraph of the Complaint.				

- 66. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 67. Defendants deny the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 68. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 69. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 70. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that

- Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 71. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in
- 4 accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential
  - effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing
- 6 information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care
- 7 and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® is defective, and deny
  - the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
  - Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in 72.
  - accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential
  - effects of Celebrex® are and were adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing
  - information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care
  - and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this
  - paragraph of the Complaint.
- 73. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in
- 16 accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential
- 17 effects of Celebrex® are and were adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing
- 18 information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care
- 19 and law. Defendants state that the referenced study speaks for itself and respectfully refer the
- 20 Court to the study for its actual language and text. Any attempt to characterize the study is
- 21 denied. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this
- 22 paragraph of the Complaint.
- 23 74. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
- 24 the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used
- 25 Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and
- 26 effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants
- 27 state that the potential effects of Celebrex® are and were adequately described in its FDA-
- 28 approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

### **Response to First Cause of Action: Negligence**

- 75. Defendants incorporate by reference their responses to each paragraph of Plaintiffs' Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 76. Defendants state that this paragraph of the Complaint contains legal contentions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Defendants admit that they had duties as are imposed by law but deny having breached such duties. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 77. Defendants state that this paragraph of the Complaint contains legal contentions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Defendants admit that they had duties as are imposed by law but deny having breached such duties. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 78. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

15

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 16 and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint, including all subparts.

- 79. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in 80. accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 81. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 82. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that

10

86.

16

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

- Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs 83. injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 84. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

## **Response to Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability**

85. Defendants incorporate by reference their responses to each paragraph of Plaintiffs' Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to

- the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Pfizer and Pharmacia marketed and co-promoted Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Celebrex® was manufactured and packaged for Searle, which developed, tested, marketed, copromoted and distributed Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants state that, in the ordinary case, Celebrex® was expected to reach users and consumers without substantial change from the time of sale. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 87. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 88. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14 15

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny that Celebrex® is defective or unreasonably dangerous and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 89. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny that Celebrex® is defective or unreasonably dangerous and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint, including all subparts.
- 90. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny that Celebrex® is unreasonably dangerous and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 91. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® is defective, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 92. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® is defective, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- 93. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® is defective, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 94. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 95. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 17 96. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- 97. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 98. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 99. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 100. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

# Response to Third Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty

- 101. Defendants incorporate by reference their responses to each paragraph of Plaintiffs' Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 102. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-

4

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants admit that they provided FDA-approved prescribing information regarding Celebrex®. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- 103. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants admit that they provided FDA-approved prescribing information regarding Celebrex®. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint, including all subparts.
- 104. Defendants admit that they provided FDA-approved prescribing information regarding Celebrex®. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 105. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in 106. accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to					
the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used					
Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that the potential effects of					
Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information					
which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law.					
Defendants admit that they provided FDA-approved prescribing information regarding					
Celebrex®. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.					

- Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs 108. injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 109. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 110. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

## Response to Fourth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty

- 111. Defendants incorporate by reference their responses to each paragraph of Plaintiffs' Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 112. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Pfizer and Pharmacia marketed and co-promoted Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Celebrex® was manufactured and packaged for Searle, which developed, tested, marketed, co-promoted and distributed Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 113. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care

and law. Defendants admit that they provided FDA-approved prescribing information regarding Celebrex®. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex®, and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants admit that they provided FDA-approved prescribing information regarding Celebrex®. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that, in the ordinary case, Celebrex® was expected to reach users and consumers without substantial change from the time of sale. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that they breached any warranty, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- 118. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 119. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 120. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

#### Response to Fifth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Misrepresentation and Concealment

- 121. Defendants incorporate by reference their responses to each paragraph of Plaintiffs' Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 122. Defendants state that this paragraph of the Complaint contains legal contentions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is deemed required, Defendants admit that they had duties as are imposed by law but deny having breached such duties. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 123. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint, including all subparts.
- 124. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential

7

23

24

25

26

27

28

effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to 125. the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® is defective or unreasonably dangerous, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 126. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDA-approved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 127. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

128. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- 129. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 130. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 131. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

16

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- 132. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 133. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 134. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 135. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

# Response to Sixth Cause of Action: Unjust Enrichment

- 136. Defendants incorporate by reference their responses to each paragraph of Plaintiffs' Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 137. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Pfizer and Pharmacia marketed and co-promoted Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants admit that, during certain periods of time, Celebrex® was manufactured and packaged for Searle, which developed, tested, marketed, co-promoted and distributed Celebrex® in the United States to be prescribed by healthcare providers who are by law

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

authorized to prescribe drugs in accordance with their approval by the FDA. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

- Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to 138. the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 139. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 140. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 141. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint regarding whether Plaintiffs used Celebrex® and, therefore, deny the same. Defendants state that Celebrex® was and is safe and effective when used in accordance with its FDA-approved prescribing information. Defendants state that the potential effects of Celebrex® were and are adequately described in its FDAapproved prescribing information, which was at all times adequate and comported with applicable standards of care and law. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.
- 142. Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph of the Complaint.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

13 14 15

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 16

#### **Response to Prayer for Relief**

Defendants deny any wrongful conduct, deny that Celebrex® caused Plaintiffs injury or damage, and deny the remaining allegations in paragraph of the Complaint headed "Prayer for Relief," including all subparts.

III.

#### **GENERAL DENIAL**

Defendants deny all allegations and/or legal conclusions set forth in Plaintiffs' Complaint that have not been previously admitted, denied, or explained.

IV.

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendants reserve the right to rely upon any of the following or additional defenses to claims asserted by Plaintiffs to the extent that such defenses are supported by information developed through discovery or evidence at trial. Defendants affirmatively show that:

#### **First Defense**

The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. 1.

#### **Second Defense**

2. Celebrex® is a prescription medical product. The federal government has preempted the field of law applicable to the labeling and warning of prescription medical products. Defendants' labeling and warning of Celebrex® was at all times in compliance with applicable federal law. Plaintiffs' causes of action against Defendants, therefore, fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted; such claims, if allowed, would conflict with applicable federal law and violate the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution.

#### **Third Defense**

At all relevant times, Defendants provided proper warnings, information, and instructions for the drug in accordance with generally recognized and prevailing standards in existence at the time.

27

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## **Fourth Defense**

At all relevant times, Defendants' warnings and instructions with respect to the use of 4. Celebrex® conformed to the generally recognized, reasonably available, and reliable state of knowledge at the time the drug was manufactured, marketed, and distributed.

#### Fifth Defense

5. Plaintiffs' action is time-barred as it is filed outside of the time permitted by the applicable Statute of Limitations, and same is pled in full bar of any liability as to Defendants.

#### **Sixth Defense**

6. Plaintiffs' action is barred by the statute of repose.

#### **Seventh Defense**

7. Plaintiffs' claims against Defendants are barred to the extent Plaintiffs were contributorily negligent, actively negligent or otherwise failed to mitigate their damages, and any recovery by Plaintiffs should be diminished accordingly.

#### **Eighth Defense**

8. The proximate cause of the loss complained of by Plaintiffs is not due to any acts or omissions on the part of Defendants. Rather, said loss is due to the acts or omissions on the part of third parties unrelated to Defendants and for whose acts or omissions Defendants are not liable in any way.

# Ninth Defense

9. The acts and/or omissions of unrelated third parties as alleged constituted independent, intervening causes for which Defendants cannot be liable.

## **Tenth Defense**

10. Any injuries or expenses incurred by Plaintiffs were not caused by Celebrex®, but were proximately caused, in whole or in part, by an idiosyncratic reaction, operation of nature, or act of God.

#### **Eleventh Defense**

11. Defendants affirmatively deny that they violated any duty owed to Plaintiffs.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

# 12

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

**Twelfth Defense** 

12. A manufacturer has no duty to warn patients or the general public of any risk, contraindication, or adverse effect associated with the use of a prescription medical product. Rather, the law requires that all such warnings and appropriate information be given to the prescribing physician and the medical profession, which act as a "learned intermediary" in determining the use of the product. Celebrex® is a prescription medical product, available only on the order of a licensed physician. Celebrex® provided an adequate warning to Plaintiffs' treating and prescribing physicians.

### **Thirteenth Defense**

The product at issue was not in a defective condition or unreasonably dangerous at the 13. time it left the control of the manufacturer or seller.

### **Fourteenth Defense**

14. Celebrex® was at all times material to the Complaint reasonably safe and reasonably fit for its intended use and the warnings and instructions accompanying Celebrex® at the time of the occurrence of the injuries alleged by Plaintiffs were legally adequate for its approved usages.

### **Fifteenth Defense**

15. Plaintiffs' causes of action are barred in whole or in part by the lack of a defect as the Celebrex® allegedly ingested by Plaintiffs was prepared in accordance with the applicable standard of care.

### **Sixteenth Defense**

Plaintiffs' alleged injuries/damages, if any, were the result of misuse or abnormal use of 16. the product Celebrex® after the product left the control of Defendants and any liability of Defendants is therefore barred.

### **Seventeenth Defense**

17. Plaintiffs' alleged damages were not caused by any failure to warn on the part of Defendants.

### 11 12 San Francisco, CA 94111 13 14 15 16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Fightoon	th i	Dofonco
Eighteen	un	Detense

18. Plaintiffs' alleged injuries/damages, if any, were the result of preexisting or subsequent conditions unrelated to Celebrex®.

### **Nineteenth Defense**

19. Plaintiffs knew or should have known of any risk associated with Celebrex®; therefore, the doctrine of assumption of the risk bars or diminishes any recovery.

### **Twentieth Defense**

20. Plaintiffs are barred from recovering against Defendants because Plaintiffs' claims are preempted in accordance with the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution and by the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act, 21 U.S.C. § 301 et. seq.

### **Twenty-first Defense**

21. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part under the applicable state law because the subject pharmaceutical product at issue was subject to and received pre-market approval by the Food and Drug Administration under 52 Stat. 1040, 21 U.S.C. § 301.

### **Twenty-second Defense**

22. The manufacture, distribution, and sale of the pharmaceutical product referred to in Plaintiffs' Complaint were at all times in compliance with all federal regulations and statutes, and Plaintiffs' causes of action are preempted.

### **Twenty-third Defense**

23. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by the deference given to the primary jurisdiction of the Food and Drug Administration over the subject pharmaceutical product at issue under applicable federal laws, regulations, and rules.

### **Twenty-fourth Defense**

Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part because there is no private right of 24. action concerning matters regulated by the Food and Drug Administration under applicable federal laws, regulations, and rules.

27

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

# **Twenty-fifth Defense**

25. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part because Defendants provided adequate "direction or warnings" as to the use of the subject pharmaceutical product within the meaning of Comment i to Section 402A of the Restatement (Second) of Torts.

### **Twenty-sixth Defense**

26. Plaintiffs' claims are barred or limited to a product liability failure to warn claim because Celebrex® is a prescription pharmaceutical drug and falls within the ambit of Restatement (Second) of Torts § 402A, Comment k.

### **Twenty-seventh Defense**

Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part because the subject pharmaceutical 27. product at issue "provides net benefits for a class of patients" within the meaning of Comment f to § 6 of the Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability.

### **Twenty-eighth Defense**

28. Plaintiffs' claims are barred under § 4, et seq., of the Restatement (Third) of Torts: Products Liability.

### **Twenty-ninth Defense**

29. To the extent that Plaintiffs are seeking punitive damages, Plaintiffs have failed to plead facts sufficient under the law to justify an award of punitive damages.

#### **Thirtieth Defense**

30. Defendants affirmatively aver that the imposition of punitive damages in this case would violate Defendants' rights to procedural due process under the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution and the Constitutions of the States of Arizona, Florida, and California, and would additionally violate Defendants' rights to substantive due process under the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

### **Thirty-first Defense**

31. Plaintiffs' claims for punitive damages are barred, in whole or in part, by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111

32. The imposition of punitive damages in this case would violate the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

4

### **Thirty-third Defense**

5

33. Plaintiffs' punitive damage claims are preempted by federal law.

6

### **Thirty-fourth Defense**

7 8

In the event that reliance was placed upon Defendants' nonconformance to an express 34. representation, this action is barred as there was no reliance upon representations, if any, of Defendants.

9

### **Thirty-fifth Defense**

10 11

35. Plaintiffs failed to provide Defendants with timely notice of any alleged nonconformance to any express representation.

12

### **Thirty-sixth Defense**

13

36. To the extent that Plaintiffs' claims are based on a theory providing for liability without proof of causation, the claims violate Defendants' rights under the United States Constitution.

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 14 15 16

### **Thirty-seventh Defense**

17 18 37. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the advertisements, if any, and labeling with respect to the subject pharmaceutical products were not false or misleading and,

19

therefore, constitute protected commercial speech under the applicable provisions of the United

20

States Constitution.

21

### **Thirty-eighth Defense**

22

38. To the extent that Plaintiffs seek punitive damages for the conduct which allegedly

23

caused injuries asserted in the Complaint, punitive damages are barred or reduced by applicable

24

law or statute or, in the alternative, are unconstitutional insofar as they violate the due process

25

protections afforded by the United States Constitution, the excessive fines clause of the Eighth

26

Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Commerce Clause of the United States

27

Constitution, and the Full Faith and Credit Clause of the United States Constitution, and

applicable provisions of the Constitutions of the States of Arizona, Florida, and California.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### **Thirty-ninth Defense**

39. The methods, standards, and techniques utilized with respect to the manufacture, design, and marketing of Celebrex®, if any, used in this case, included adequate warnings and instructions with respect to the product's use in the package insert and other literature, and conformed to the generally recognized, reasonably available, and reliable state of the knowledge at the time the product was marketed.

### **Fortieth Defense**

40. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred because Celebrex® was designed, tested, manufactured, and labeled in accordance with the state-of-the-art industry standards existing at the time of the sale.

4

5

6

### 7

8

9

10

11 12

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 13 14

15

17

16

18

### 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### **Forty-first Defense**

If Plaintiffs have sustained injuries or losses as alleged in the Complaint, upon 41. information and belief, such injuries and losses were caused by the actions of persons not having real or apparent authority to take said actions on behalf of Defendants and over whom Defendants had no control and for whom Defendants may not be held accountable.

### **Forty-second Defense**

42. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because Celebrex® was not unreasonably dangerous or defective, was suitable for the purpose for which it was intended, and was distributed with adequate and sufficient warnings.

### **Forty-third Defense**

Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the equitable doctrines of laches, 43. waiver, and/or estoppel.

### **Forty-fourth Defense**

44. Plaintiffs' claims are barred because Plaintiffs' injuries, if any, were the result of the pre-existing and/or unrelated medical, genetic, and/or environmental conditions, diseases or illnesses, subsequent medical conditions or natural courses of conditions of Plaintiffs, and were independent of or far removed from Defendants' conduct.

### **Forty-fifth Defense**

45. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because Celebrex® did not proximately cause injuries or damages to Plaintiffs.

### **Forty-sixth Defense**

46. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiffs did not incur any ascertainable loss as a result of Defendants' conduct.

### **Forty-seventh Defense**

47. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because the manufacturing, labeling, packaging, and any advertising of the product complied with the applicable codes, standards and regulations established, adopted, promulgated or approved by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

any applicable regulatory body, including but not limited to the United States, any state, and any agency thereof.

### **Forty-eighth Defense**

48. The claims must be dismissed because Plaintiffs would have taken Celebrex® even if the product labeling contained the information that Plaintiffs contend should have been provided.

### **Forty-ninth Defense**

49. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred because the utility of Celebrex® outweighed its risks.

### **Fiftieth Defense**

50. Plaintiffs' damages, if any, are barred or limited by the payments received from collateral sources.

### **Fifty-first Defense**

51. Defendants' liability, if any, can only be determined after the percentages of responsibility of all persons who caused or contributed toward Plaintiffs' alleged damages, if any, are determined. Defendants seek an adjudication of the percentage of fault of the claimants and each and every other person whose fault could have contributed to the alleged injuries and damages, if any, of Plaintiffs.

#### **Fifty-second Defense**

52. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of abstention in that the common law gives deference to discretionary actions by the United States Food and Drug Administration under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

#### Fifty-third Defense

53. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because Celebrex® is comprehensively regulated by the FDA pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug & Cosmetic Act ("FDCA"), 21 U.S.C. §§ 301 et seq., and regulations promulgated there under, and Plaintiffs' claims conflict with the FDCA, with the regulations promulgated by FDA to implement the FDCA, with the purposes and objectives of the FDCA and FDA's implementing regulations,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and with the specific determinations by FDA specifying the language that should be used in the labeling accompanying Celebrex®. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' claims are preempted by the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution, Article VI, clause 2, and the laws of the United States.

### **Fifty-fourth Defense**

54. Plaintiffs' misrepresentation allegations are not stated with the degree of particularity required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 9(b) and should be dismissed.

### Fifty-fifth Defense

55. Defendants state on information and belief that the Complaint and each purported cause of action contained therein is barred by the statutes of limitations contained in California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 335.1 and 338 and former § 340(3), and such other statutes of limitation as may apply.

### **Fifty-sixth Defense**

Defendants state on information and belief that any injuries, losses, or damages suffered 56. by Plaintiffs were proximately caused, in whole or in part, by the negligence or other actionable conduct of persons or entities other than Defendants. Therefore, Plaintiffs' recovery against Defendants, if any, should be reduced pursuant to California Civil Code § 1431.2.

#### **Fifty-seventh Defense**

57. To the extent that Plaintiffs seek punitive damages for an alleged act or omission of Defendants, no act or omission was oppressive, fraudulent, or malicious under California Civil Code § 3294, and, therefore, any award of punitive damages is barred. Any claim for punitive damages is also barred under California Civil Code § 3294(b).

### Fifty-eighth Defense

58. Plaintiffs' fraud-based claims, if any, are not stated with particularity as required by Rule 1.120 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

### Fifty-ninth Defense

59. Plaintiffs' claims are barred because Celebrex® was designed, manufactured, and marketed in accordance with the state of the art at the time of manufacture per § 768.1257,

### 3

4

5

6

7

### 8

61.

9

10

11

## 12

13

Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111 14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### **Sixtieth Defense**

60. Celebrex® is not defective or unreasonably dangerous, and Defendants are not liable because, at the time of sale or distribution of the Celebrex® alleged to have been used by Plaintiffs, Defendants had complied with applicable regulations of the federal Food & Drug Administration and are entitled to application of § 768.1256, Florida Statutes.

### **Sixty-first Defense**

Plaintiffs' injuries and damages, if any, were proximately caused by the negligence or

fault of Plaintiffs, or persons or parties whose identities are unknown at this time, and such comparative negligence or fault is sufficient to proportionately reduce or bar Plaintiffs' recovery. Thus, Defendants are entitled to have their liability to the Plaintiffs, if any, reduced as a result of the negligence or fault of said persons or entities, pursuant to the provisions of § 768.81, Florida Statutes. To the extent any recovery is permitted in this case, pursuant to §§ 768.31 and 768.81, Florida Statutes, judgment must be entered on the basis of Defendants' percentage of fault, taking into account the percentage of fault attributable to all other persons, whether or not a party hereto, and not on the basis of joint and several liability. The persons or entities referred to in this paragraph that are presently unknown to Defendants will be identified in a timely manner consistent with Nash v. Wells Fargo, 678 So. 2d 1262 (Fla. 1996).

### **Sixty-second Defense**

62. Plaintiffs fail to state a claim for violation of The Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act ("FDUTPA").

### **Sixty-third Defense**

63. FDUTPA does not apply to claims for personal injuries, and, accordingly, Plaintiffs' FDUTPA claim is improper and should be dismissed.

### **Sixty-fourth Defense**

The acts or practices of which Plaintiffs complain were and are required or specifically 64. permitted by federal or state law. Therefore, Plaintiffs' FDUTPA claim is barred, fails to state a claim, and should be dismissed with prejudice.

	Q	ase 3:07-cv-03956-CRB Document 4 Filed 09/19/2007 Page 46 of 48					
	1 2	Sixty-fifth Defense  65. Plaintiffs lack standing because Defendants did not engage in deceptive conduct with					
	3	regard to Plaintiffs or otherwise.					
	4	Sixty-sixth Defense					
	5	66. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by the affirmative defenses referenced in					
	6 7	A.R.S. § 12-683.					
	8	Sixty-seventh Defense  67. Any claims for breach of warranty are barred for lack of reasonable reliance, lack of					
	9						
	10	timely notice, lack of privity, and because the alleged warranties were excluded and/or disclaimed.					
	11	Sixty-eighth Defense					
000	12	68. Defendants reserve the right to supplement their assertion of defenses as they continue					
LLP uite 2000 94111	13	with their factual investigation of Plaintiffs' claims.					
ees, it, S CA	14	_V.					
Gordon & Rees, LLI Battery Street, Suite n Francisco, CA 941	15	PRAYER					
Gordon & Ro Battery Stree an Francisco,	16	WHEREFORE, Defendants pray for judgment as follows:					
275 S.	17	1. That Plaintiffs take nothing from Defendants by reason of the Complaint;					
	18	2. That the Complaint be dismissed;					
	19	3. That Defendants be awarded their costs for this lawsuit;					
	20	4. That the trier of fact determine what percentage of the combined fault or other liability					
	21	of all persons whose fault or other liability proximately caused Plaintiffs' alleged					
	22	injuries, losses, or damages is attributable to each person;					
	23	5. That any judgment for damages against Defendants in favor of Plaintiffs be no greater					
	24	than an amount which equals their proportionate share, if any, of the total fault or other					
	25	liability which proximately caused Plaintiffs' injuries and damages; and					
	26	6. That Defendants have such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate.					
	27						
	28						

	(	ase 3:07-cv-03956-CRB	Document 4	Filed 09/19/2007	Page 47 of 48
Gordon & Rees, LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	September 18, 2007  September 18, 2007	Document 4	By:	ES LLP  /s/ don donrees.com Center West treet, 20 <sup>th</sup> Floor , CA 94111 415) 986-5900 66-8054  & WEST LLP  /s/ ellers s@tuckerellis.com wer Street, Suite 4200 CA 90071 213) 430-3400 0-3409
	10			By: :/ Michael C. Ze	<u>/s/</u> !llers
				By: : /	/s/
	11			michael.zeller	s@tuckerellis.com
.P e 2000 4111	12			Los Angeles, (2 Telephone: (2	CA 90071 213) 430-3400
es, LL t, Suite CA 94	13			Fax: (213) 43	0-3409
& Re Street isco, C	14			Attorneys for PFIZER INC	Defendants . PHARMACIA
ordon offery Franc	15			CORPORATI LLC	ON, and G.D. SEARLE
G <sub>0</sub> 75 Ba San ]	16			ESC	
74	17				
	18				
	19				
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				
	26				
	27				
	28				
				-47-	

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT – 3:07-cv-3956-CRB

275 Battery Street, Suite 2000

Gordon & Rees, LLP

San Francisco, CA 94111

26

27